IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE V.RAMKUMAR

THURSDAY, THE 6TH SEPTEMBER 2007 / 15TH BHADRA 1929

Crl.Rev.Pet.No. 3248 of 2007()

CRA.638/2003 of ADDL. SESSIONS COURT, THRISSUR
ST.790/2001 of JUDL. MAGISTRATE OF FIRST CLASS COURT, KODUNGALLUR

.....

REVN. PETITIONER: REVISION PETITIONER/ACCUSED

SUNENDA RAVI, W/O.RAVI,
VIYYATH HOUSE, KARAYAMUTTOM P.O.,
VALAPPAD VILLAGE, CHAVAKKAD TALUK, THRISSUR DT.

BY ADV. SRI.M.SHAJU PURUSHOTHAMAN
SRI.K.S.RAJESH

RESPONDENTS: COMPLAINANT

---

1. BAHULEYAN, S/O GOPALAN,
NEDIYIRIPPIL, KAZHIMBRAM, VALAPPAD VILLAGE,
CHAVAKKAD TALUK, THRISSUR DT.

2. STATE REPRESENTED BY THE
PUBLIC PROSECUTOR, HIGH COURT OF KERALA,
ERNAKULAM.

BY PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
SMT.K.R.BINDI

THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 6/09/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:

V. RAMKUMAR, J.

Crl. R.P. No. 3248 OF 2007

Dated this the 6th day of September, 2007

O R D E R

The revision petitioner, who was the accused in S.T.No.790/2001 on the file of the JFCM, Kodungallur, for an offence punishable under section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881, challenges the conviction entered and the sentence passed against her concurrently by the trial court for the said offence.

2. Pending this revision, the parties have settled the matter and have filed Crl.M.A.No.9480/2007 under section 147 of the Act for recording the composition entered into by them. The said petition has been signed by both the revision petitioner as well as the complainant and their respective counsels. The composition is recorded and it will have the effect of an acquittal within the meaning of section 320(8) Cr.P.C.

This revision petition is disposed of as above.

(V. RAMKUMAR, JUDGE)

aks